







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,857	02/14/2002	Kenneth Fred Bailey	4148.4	9430
21176 7	7590 12/15/2003		EXAM	INER
SUMMA & ALLAN, P.A.			JOHNSON, RAYMOND B	
11610 NORTH COMMUNITY HOUSE ROAD SUITE 200 CHARLOTTE, NC 28277			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

K.F. Bailer

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE __One (1) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ap	Polication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. \$ 400)	
Status	(35 0.5.C. § 133).	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 45:	tters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
Of the above claim(s)		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
- Olami(3)		
Claim(s) 1-75	is/are objected to.	
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ ap ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Ex ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	pproved 🗆 disapproved. raminer.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority document of the CERTIFIED copies of t	ments have been	
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)	•	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	□ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Other	
Patent and Trademack Office Action Summa		

PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,857

Art Unit: 3652

OFFICE ACTION

- 1. The documents submitted in the IDS are noted and will be considered in due course.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-41, 47-60, drawn to a diffuser apparatus, classified in class 414, subclass 301.
 - II. Claims 42-46 and 61-75, drawn to a method of distributing particulate material, classified in class 414, subclass 800.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the claimed method steps can be practice by hand of by a materially different apparatus e.g. a down draft air blower or a gravity water/fluid flow.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If applicant elects invention I, then the applicant must also elect on of the patentably distinct species below.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

species A, Fig. 1-5;

Application/Control Number: 10/075,857

Art Unit: 3652

species B, Fig. 6; and

species C, Figs. 7-9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claims 1 and 6 at least are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,857

Art Unit: 3652

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to these requirements to be complete must

include an election of a single invention per section 3 and a single species therewith per

section 2 to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Additional lines of restriction may be required during the prosecution of this application.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Raymond Johnson whose telephone number is (703)

308-2565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30-7:30

A.M. to 5:00-6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, E. D. Lillis, can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-

4177.

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Carles

Page 4

Johnson/kn October 2, 2003